

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING I	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/954,555 09/17/2001		2001	Paul J. Thompson	11576.55USI1	9046
23552	7590	11/17/2004		EXAM	INER
MERCHAN P.O. BOX 29	T & GOULD	PC		STEWART	, ALVIN J
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•			3738	

DATE MAILED: 11/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/954,555	THOMPSON ET A	L. ·
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Alvin J Stewart	3738	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence add	dress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by star Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of tho will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC tute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely NTHS from the mailing date of this co ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16	6 August 2004.		
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ T	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	wance except for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to the	merits is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-34,36-39,45-51 and 53-68</u> is 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>7-11</u> is/are withdra		on.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Seneral and in		
6) Claim(s) 1,2,4-6,12-34,36-39,45-51 and 53-	68 is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on 17 September 2001	is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)	objected to by the Exan	niner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	<u> </u>		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PT	O-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
<ul> <li>12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreing</li> <li>a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:</li> <li>1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document</li> </ul>		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		Application No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p			Stage
application from the International Bur			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a I	ist of the certified copies no	t received.	
Attachment(s)	<b>.</b> □	. C	
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> </ol>		Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/	08) 5) Notice of	Informal Patent Application (PTC	)-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)	<del></del> ·	

Application/Control Number: 09/954,555

Art Unit: 3738

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 12-15, 18-21, 23, 26-29, 31, 34, 36, 38, 45-51, 53-68 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Stallings et al US Patent 6,776,791 B1.

Stallings et al discloses a catheter having an elongated member, a stent, a sheath, a male interlock, a female interlock, a cell defined region (see Fig. 12), the stent ends after element 35 (see Fig. 5), the diameter of the stent is about 20mm, therefore, at least a portion of each first and second interlock structures being positioned a distance at most 5 mm from the cell defining region.

Regarding claim 18, the elongated member can be interpreted as element structure 50.

The Examiner interpreted element structure 37 as the rounded enlargements. Structure 37 has curved region, therefore, the Examiner interpreted the curved region as the rounded enlargements.

Claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 12-15, 18, 36, 38, 45, 46, 62 and 66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Robinson et al US Patent 6,077,297.

Robinson et al discloses a catheter having an elongated member (66), a stent (10), a sheath (60), a male interlock (81), a female interlock (opening between graft 20 and end of

Art Unit: 3738

stent), a cell defined region (graft 20), at least a portion of each first and second interlock structures being positioned a distance at most 5 mm from the cell defining region.

Regarding claim 18, the elongated member can be interpreted as element structure 66.

Claim 68 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Letendre et al US Patent 6,267,783 B1.

Letendre et al discloses a catheter (40) having an elongated member (60), a stent (12), a sheath (50), a male interlock (20), a female interlock (54), a cell defined region (see Figs. 3-5) and at least a portion of the first interlock structure being positioned a distance at most 5 mm from the cell defining region.

Note: the Examiner wants to point out that the Applicant's representative is only claiming <u>a portion</u> of the male structure and is not claiming that the end of the first interlock structure is positioned a distance at most 5 mm from the cell defining region.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4, 22 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stallings et al US Patent 6,776,791 B1.

Stallings et al discloses the invention substantially as claimed. However, Stallings does not disclose a radiopaque marker positioned adjacent to the implant mounting region.

At the time the invention was made, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the Stallings et al reference by having a plurality of markers positioned adjacent to the implant mounting location in order to determine the exact location of the implant within the body lumen because Applicant has not disclosed that the radiopaque marker provides an advantage, is used for a particular purpose, or solves a stated problem. One of ordinary skill in the art, furthermore, would have expected Applicant's invention to perform equally well with the delivery system of the Stallings et al reference because the surgeon would still be capable of seen the catheter and stent under fluoroscopy.

Therefore, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to modify the Stallings et al reference to obtain the invention as specified in claims 4, 22 and 30.

Claims 16, 17, 24, 25, 32, 33, 37 and 39 are r ejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stallings et al US Patent 6,776,791 B1.

Stallings et al disclose the invention substantially as claimed. However, Stallings does not disclose a portion having a distance at most 1 millimeter from the cell defining region of the implant.

At the time the invention was made, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the length of the interlock structures at most 1 mm because Applicant has not disclosed that by having a distance at most 1mm provides an advantage, is used for a particular purpose, or solves a stated problem. One of ordinary skill in the art, furthermore, would have expected Applicant's invention to perform equally well with the above 5mm distance because it will perform equally the same as having 5mm.

Application/Control Number: 09/954,555 Page 5

Art Unit: 3738

Therefore, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to modify the Stallings

et al reference to obtain the invention as specified in claims 16, 17, 24, 25, 32, 33, 37 and 39.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alvin J Stewart whose telephone number is 703-305-0277. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:00AM-5:30PM(1 Friday B-week off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on 703-308-2111. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Alvin J Stewart
Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3738

November 15, 2004.